

Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District 1203 Main Street, Suite B,

1203 Main Street, Suite B Morro Bay, CA 93442 805-772-4391 | <u>www.coastalrcd.org</u>

Response to RFI Floodplain Resilience Assessment and Design for the Lower Chorro Creek Watershed

January 30th, 2024

Responses to questions submitted by proposers are answered below. The Request for Proposals (RFP) is modified as set forth in this Addendum, reflecting those responses. The original RFP remains in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFP. Respondents shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting Proposals.

SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

This addendum details additions to the RFP based on the questions received. Revisions to pre-existing language in the RFP for **Floodplain Resilience Assessment and Design for the Lower Chorro Creek Watershed** distributed on January 09, 2024, are indicated either by strikethrough for deletions and <u>underlining</u> for insertions.

Question		Response
1	Are any or all of the following pages counted toward the 20-page maximum for the proposal: Cover, Budget Table, Proof of Insurance	No. The Cover page, Budget Table, and Proof of Insurance will not be counted towards the 20-page limit for submittals.
2	Can our proposals include two-page resumes not counted toward the 20-page limit	Resumes will be counted towards the 20-page limit for submittals.
3	Can you please extend the proposal deadline to February 21, 2024, to allow consultants to adequately address your responses to questions received on the RFP	I will extend the proposal deadline to Friday, February 16th, 2024. A summary of proposals and staff recommendations will be brought to our Board of Directors for approval on Friday, February 23, and a Notice to proceed will follow on Monday, February 26th. Revised Procurement Timeline reflected in amended RFP
4	Is there any documentation you can provide regarding known biological resources within the Lower Chorro Creek Watershed	To my knowledge, there are no recent biological resource surveys of the project area.
5	The geotechnical engineering task identifies a preliminary assessment from the geotechnical engineer that would primarily focus on utilizing existing conditions to characterize the geotechnical site conditions. If a new levee or levee setback are included in the selected alternative, a more detailed geotechnical	Yes.



Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District

1203 Main Street, Suite B, Morro Bay, CA 93442 805-772-4391 | www.coastalrcd.org

	investigation would likely be required to bring the full design to 65%. Should we include that investigation and associated geotechnical design for a levee as an optional task?	
6	Do we need to utilize the provided budget tables or can we provide a table that outlines, in detail, the tasks, personnel and hours?	It would be preferable if the provided budget tables are used, but if a comparable alternative is available feel free to use it.
7	The RFP outlines the project objectives as flood protection, water quality, and habitat enhancement. Should those objectives be considered in that order?	Flood protection is the highest priority followed by Habitat enhancement and Water quality, equally.
8	Do you want us to provide estimated effort (staff and budget) for the Additive Task (Permitting Support) or will you just assume we would support that task as a T&M task per the rates we have provided in the rest of the proposal?	Proposals should include estimated staff hours for the Additive Permitting Support task. Assume the project will require an MND, not EIR.
9	Should Proposal scopes of work and budgets account for full sediment loading models for each proposed concept?	No. Scope and budget should account for preliminary sediment transport models for each alternative and a full sediment transport evaluation for the selected alternative.
10	A Basis of Design Report is listed as a deliverable for task 5 but not described in the Scope of work?	This was an oversight. A Basis of Design Report will be developed during task 5 describing the modeling outcomes and design process.
11	It looks like San Bernardo Creek is part of the foot-print- what are the RCDs goals for San Bernardo Creek? Fish passage? Repair of the levee that was damaged in 2023 storms?	Yes, San Bernardo creek is included in the geographic scope because of the significant flooding in that tributary last winter. RCD goals for that tributary are reduced flooding/ increased flood capacity, however co-beneficial activities that support fish passage should be considered.
12	We noticed there is no page 6 on the RFP (it goes from page 5 to 7). Can we confirm that the PDF of the RFP isn't missing a page?	Correct, the page numbering sequence was a formatting error. The .pdf is <u>not</u> missing a page. Accurate page numbers are reflected in the amended RFP.